Appendix: Two

<u>Statement of Licensing Policy Review Consultation – Additional</u> <u>Comments Received Via Letter/Email/Meetings</u>

Please note however that some of these respondents may also have completed the online survey as well.

- 1. Jago Action Group (JAG), Residents Association Representing Residents North of Bethnal Green, South of the Boundary Estate and East of Shoreditch High Street:
 - Cumulative Impact Zone has failed and needs to be enforced properly,
 - Cumulative Impact Zone needs to include better cooperation with London Borough of Hackney, particularly in respect of premises that borough boundaries. Need clear protocol of which borough deals with these complaints,
 - Cumulative Impact Zone has seen an approximate 10 fold increase in licensed premises then 10/20 years ago,
 - Cumulative Impact Zone issues are caused by disproportionate impact of a few premises,
 - Cumulative Impact Zone has an over concentration of Licensed premises,
 - Cumulative Impact Zone still has issues of street urination, defaecation, vomiting, littering, drug dealing noise pollution attributed to licensed premises within it, as well as inebriated people in streets. Parking and vehicles racing causes further issues,
 - Cumulative Impact Zone statistical data used in the Policy Consultation for the Cumulative Impact Zone does not show true picture of problems and wider set of statistical data should be used similar to those used by the London Borough of Hackney in their recent Licensing Policy Review,
 - Cumulative Impact Zone (CIZ) the London Borough of Hackney Special Policy Area (SPA) not increased to meet LBTH CIZ, due to this LBTH should withdraw agreement for LB Hackney to take licensing decisions affecting the premises straddling the borough boundaries,
 - LBTH should lobby LB Hackney to extend their Special Policy Area to join up with LBTH Cumulative Impact Zone,
 - Voluntary consultation should include residents outside of the borough where they are within the 40 meter consultation area,

- Licence transfers and change of business type can often lead to increase issues, e.g. café turning into bar, thus more stringent examination of transfers needs to occur in respect of this issue,
- Cumulative Impact Zone Responsible Authorities should make more representations in respect of applications and not leave it to "Other persons",
- Cumulative Impact Zone, policy should be amended to make it clear that applicants need to demonstrate that their operations will not negatively add to cumulative impact,
- Cumulative Impact Zone Licensing Authority and Police as Responsible Authorities should discuss more active role in respect of CIZ,
- Licensing Sub-Committee need to adhere/apply the when hearing applications within the CIZ area,
- Committee members need to receive training reinforcing the details of the Cumulative Impact Zone,
- Licensing Policy to encourage applicants to obtain Planning Permission prior to Licensing Application and empower Sub-Committee members to take account of this in Policy,
- Licensing Policy should adopt presumption that outdoor spaces should close at 21:00 hours,
- Licensing Policy should adopt presumption that waste collections should only occur between 08:00 to 20:00 hours.
- Residents Meeting with SPIRE and JAG Residents Associations and Councillor Peirce: Please note many of these comments will be similar if not identical to 1 above as JAG were present at this meeting
 - Training for the Licensing Sub-Committee on the Cumulative Impact Zone/Policy should be completed,
 - Outdoor spaces should have reduced hours particularly those premises within the Cumulative Impact Zone,
 - The policy should include section of outdoor spaces in regard the Licensing Authority's expectation on applicants,

- LBTH should have better communication with London Borough of Hackney in respect of cross boundary issues from licensed premises,
- The statistical data used in respect of the Cumulative Impact Zone does not provide a full picture of issues within the Zone. Wider statistical data should be used so as to provide a better view of issues within the Zone.
- 3. Jago Action Group (JAG) Organised Petition in relation to Cumulative Impact Zone Review: *Please note many of these comments will be similar if not identical to 1 and 2 above as JAG organised the petition*
 - There should be greater cooperation between LBTH and London Borough of Hackney in relation to cross boundary licensing issues, and venues,
 - Responsible Authorities should be more proactive in tackling problematic licence applications within the Cumulative Impact Zone,
 - Cumulative Impact Zone should substantially reduce the number of new licences still being granted.
- 4. Local Resident 1:
 - Licensing Policy should be mindful of residential dwellings near to, and in the dispersal path of Licence premises,
 - Noise and nuisance generated by operation of licensed premises and their customers is of great concern,
 - Public order disturbances and ASB, for example street disturbance, abuse, public urination, vomiting, smoking and littering are also of great concern,
 - Licensing Authority when considering licensing applications where residential dwellings could be impacted should consider the following:
 - Licensing hours should be limited to fewer hours to give respite so that the impact of residents is not a daily occurrence,
 - Conditions attached to licences should be check to compliance,
 - Premises with outside spaces/terraces where noise likely to cause more impact to be time restricted for use and closely monitored to ensure compliance,
 - New large capacity venues should be discouraged.
- 5. Local Resident 2:
 - Licensing Policy should clarify point that conditions on a premises licence are not added to the TEN but that applicant would be expect to comply the premises licence conditions as matter of good practice.

- 6. Trading Standards, Environmental Health and Trading Standards Service, LBTH:
 - Licensing Policy provide expectation that applicants adopt the "Challenge 25" age verification scheme,
 - Licensing Policy to include conditions to be inserted where its discretion is engaged.
- 7. Community Safety, LBTH:
 - Under preventing harm to children, a paragraph should be added detailing the Licensing Authority's expectation on applicants in relation to Child Sexual Exploitation (CSE),
 - Under Public Safety, a paragraph should be added detailing the Licensing Authority's expectation on applicants in relation to protection person from violence and take proactive approach to customer safety.

KFC:

8. No comment.